

Public Document Pack



Helen Barrington
Director of Legal and
Democratic Services
County Hall
Matlock
Derbyshire
DE4 3AG

Extension
Direct Dial 07815 972410
Ask for Ivan Walters

PUBLIC

To: Members of Regulatory - Planning Committee

Thursday, 26 August 2021

Dear Councillor,

Please attend a meeting of the **Regulatory - Planning Committee** to be held at **10.00 am** on **Monday, 6 September 2021** in The Council Chamber, County Hall, Matlock, DE4 3AG, the agenda for which is set out below.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Helen E. Barrington'.

Helen Barrington
Director of Legal and Democratic Services

AGENDA

PART I - NON-EXEMPT ITEMS

1 (a) s Apologies for Absence

To receive apologies for absence (if any)

1 (b) s Declarations of Interest

To receive declarations of interest (if any)

1 (c) s Declarations of Significant Lobbying

To receive declarations of significant lobbying (if any)

1 (d) s Petitions

To receive petitions (if any)

2. Minutes (Pages 1 - 6)

To confirm the non-exempt minutes of the meeting of the Regulatory – Planning Committee held on 21 June 2021

To consider the non-exempt reports of the Executive Director - Economy, Transport and Environment on:

3 (a) New Security Fencing around the Perimeter of the School Detached Playing Field at Hasland Junior School, The Green, Hasland, Chesterfield. Applicant: Derbyshire County Council. Code No: CD4/0521/5 (Pages 7 - 20)

3 (b) Current Enforcement Action (Pages 21 - 24)

3 (c) Outstanding Application List (Pages 25 - 26)

3 (d) Current Appeals/Called in Applications (Pages 27 - 28)

3 (e) s Matters Determined by the Executive Director - Economy, Transport and Environment under Delegated Powers (Pages 29 - 32)

(to be circulated at the meeting)

3 (f) Department Management Performance Monitoring (Pages 33 - 34)

PUBLIC

Agenda Item 2

MINUTES of a meeting of the **REGULATORY – PLANNING COMMITTEE** held at County Hall, Matlock on 21 June 2021.

PRESENT

Councillor M Ford (in the Chair)

Councillors, R Ashton, A Griffiths, R Mihaly, D Murphy, P Niblock, R A Parkinson, R Redfern (substitute member) D Wilson and M Yates

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillor L Grooby.

The Chairman opened the meeting by expressing his thanks and appreciation for all the hard work of former Councillors J Atkin, D Charles, P Smith and B Wright as members of the Committee over the previous 4 years.

27/21 **MINUTES RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 12 April 2021 be confirmed as a correct record.

28/21 **MATTERS ARISING** Section 119 of the Highways Act 1980: Proposed Diversion of Public Footpath No 39 (Part) – Parish of Barlow. (Minute 17/21 refers) Councillor Mihaly asked whether clarification had been received that officers had been satisfied that the diversion would not impede accessibility over the footpath by people with disabilities. The Head of Planning Services was to ask colleagues in Rights of Way to provide an answer to this.

29/21 **REVOCATION ORDER RELATING TO PLANNING PERMISSION AT HOE GRANGE QUARRY, BRASSINGTON** The Executive Director -Place, sought revised approval from the Committee to the making of an order under section 97(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to revoke planning permissions ASR365/7 and ASR/96512 for Hoe Grange Quarry, Brassington subject to a condition for aftercare, up to 2026.

As was explained in the Executive Director's report, the Committee on 8 April 2019 had authorised a revocation of mineral planning permissions at the quarry (Minute No. 22/19 refers), but a new resolution for a revocation order was sought..

The report informed the Committee that:

- under the provisions of Schedule 13 of the Environment Act 1995, Hoe

Grange Quarry had been categorised as an 'Active Phase 1 Site' and was subject to a Review of Old Mineral Permissions (ROMP) application for the update of conditions which remained 'stalled' and thus undetermined.

- The quarry was non-operational and was currently managed by Derbyshire Wildlife Trust (DWT) as the Hoe Grange Nature Reserve. The site, which was particularly notable for butterflies and other invertebrates, was also known to support birds, as well as other species of notable flora and fauna. DWT had a 10-year habitat management plan up to 2026 which sought to maximise the potential for invertebrates at the site.
- The site was located north-west of the village of Longcliffe and was accessed via a track which leads off an unclassified road which runs northwards from Longcliffe crossroads towards Aldwark. The site was surrounded by open agricultural land to the north, east and west, with the High Peak Trail forming the southern site boundary. The site was located in open countryside and was close to the boundary of the Peak District National Park which lay to the north and west. The nearest residential properties were located to the north on the unclassified highway and include Haven Hoe Farm.
- The High Peak Trail Local Wildlife Site (LWS), of interest for its unimproved calcareous and neutral grassland, and a Derbyshire Red Data Book species, Dark Mullein, was immediately adjacent to the southern boundary of the site Management plan. The site itself was identified as the first butterfly reserve in the County.
- The Mineral Planning Authority had the power to revoke planning permissions under section 97(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 ('the Act'), where it appears to the Authority that it is expedient to do so.

The previous reporting of this matter, which immediately preceded the resolution of 2019 had been on the basis that the management plan would by the time of making an order be revised, including an extension of a further three years. However, it had not proved possible to secure revision of that management plan to utilise for an aftercare condition to such an order, as had been anticipated previously.

The resolution for approval under the recommendation in the report therefore allowed for a revocation order to be made that could include an aftercare condition utilising the existing management plan up to 2026.

Members made some comments, concerning details under the report and asked questions for clarification which were duly answered by officers.

RESOLVED to approve:

- (1) the making of a revocation order under Section 97 of the Town and County Planning Act 1990 to revoke planning permissions ASR365/7 and ASR/96512 to win and work dolomite, limestone and associated minerals at Hoe Grange Quarry, Brassington subject to a condition to require nature conservation, aftercare following consultation with the landowner as to the content of the order and condition, and confirmation by landowner that it relinquishes any entitlement to compensation under the Act as a result of the order being made; and
- (2) confirmation of the order so made in the event of no objections being received from anyone with an interest in the land

30/21 **CURRENT ENFORCEMENT ACTION RESOLVED** to receive the report on current enforcement action.

31/21 **OUTSTANDING APPLICATION LIST RESOLVED** to receive the list on decisions outstanding on 7 June 2021 relating to EIA applications outstanding for more than sixteen weeks, major applications outstanding for more than thirteen weeks and minor applications outstanding for more than eight weeks.

32//21 **CURRENT APPEALS/CALLED IN APPLICATIONS RESOLVED** to note that the following appeal has been lodged with the Planning Inspectorate:

Appeal Reference APP/U1050/C/20/3257919

Land at Lady Lea Road, Horsley, Ilkeston

Appeal against Enforcement Notice Issues on 16 July 2020

Appeal Start Date – 8 September 2020

33/21 **MATTERS DETERMINED BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ECONOMY, TRANSPORT AND ENVIRONMENT UNDER DELEGATED POWERS** **RESOLVED** to note that the following applications had been approved by the Executive Director – Place under delegated powers on:

Date	Reports
15/03/2021	Applicant: Breedon Southern Ltd Planning Application Code No: R1/1017/33 First Periodic Review of Mineral Planning Permission at a Mining

	Site under Schedule 14 of the Environment Act 1995: Application for Approval of New Conditions Relating to the Operation of the Existing Permitted Quarry Development at Dowlow Quarry, Buxton
15/03/2021	Applicant: Derbyshire County Council Planning Application Code No: CD8/0121/47 Proposed Temporary Classroom Building, Brackenfield Special School, Bracken Road, Long Eaton, NG10 4DA
15/03/2021	Delegation Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: CD8/0920/33 Former Ormiston Enterprise Academy: SD3255: Details of a Liaison Committee
17/03/2021	Applicant: Tarmac Cement and Lime Limited (Tarmac) Submission No: PD17/1/82 Request for the Council's Prior Approval for the Erection of a Chlorine Bypass and Increased Solid Fuel Storage and Feeding Capacity at the Existing Cement Plant at Tunstead Quarry, Waterswallows Road, Buxton SK17 8TG
29/03/2021	Applicant: Derbyshire County Council Planning Application Code No: CD8/0221/48 Demolition of Existing Temporary Classroom Unit and Construction of New Extension to Form Additional Accommodation including Alterations to Existing Building, Brackenfield Special School, Bracken Road, Long Eaton, NG10 4DA
29/03/2021	Delegation Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: RR1/1197/11 Tunstead Quarry/Old Moor Quarry SM3531: 2 Year Quarry Development Plan SM3545: 2 Year Quarry Development Plan SM3538: 2 Year Quarry Development Plan SM3532: Noise Management and Mitigation Protocol SM3546: Noise Management and Mitigation Protocol SM3539: Noise Management and Mitigation Protocol SM3533: Noise Monitoring Survey SM3547: Noise Monitoring Survey SM3540: Noise Monitoring Survey SM3534: Dust Monitoring SM3548: Dust Monitoring SM3541: Dust Monitoring SM3535: Groundwater Monitoring SM3529: Groundwater Monitoring SM3542: Groundwater Monitoring SM3536: Groundwater Monitoring Report SM3550: Groundwater Monitoring Report SM3543: Groundwater Monitoring Report SM3537: Annual Plan of Rock Faces to be Disturbed

	<p>SM3551: Annual Plan of Rock Faces to be Disturbed SM3544: Annual Plan of Rock Faces to be Disturbed SM3524: Scheme of Working, Reclamation, Landscaping and Aftercare CD1/0420/5 Glossopdale School, Hadfield SD3530: Construction Management Plan and Construction Method Statement CD8/0920/33 Former Ormiston Enterprise Academy SD3511: Source of Material and Geological Make Up SD3512: Method Statements SD3513: Methodology for Testing Material for Contaminaiton SD3514: Construction and Environment Management Plan SD3515: Construction Management Plan SD3516: Arboricultural Method Statement. SD3517: Intrusive Site Investigations and Findings Report SD3519: Surface Water Run-off SD3521: Detailed Assessment of Grounds Conditions</p>
21/04/2021	<p>Delegation Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: CD9/0620/22 Overseal Primary, Swadlincote SD3553: Construction Method Management Plan</p>
22/04/2021	<p>Delegation Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: CD8/0920/33 Former Ormiston Enterprise Academy SD3508: Identify and Control any Environmental Risk SD3510: Inert Materials Balancing Scheme/s</p>
28/04/2021	<p>Delegation Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: CD1/0420/5 Glossopdale School, Hadfield SD3527: Scheme of Remediation SD3518: Management and Maintenance Plans SD3520: Disposal of Surface Water</p>
05/05/2021	<p>Applicant: Mr David Humphriss, Castle Waste Services Limited Planning Application Code No: CW8/1220/46 Erection of a Steel Portal Frame Building to House a Replacement Waste Treatment Equipment on an Existing Waste Treatment Facility at Castel Environmental Ltd, Crompton Road, Ilkeston DE7 4BG</p>
05/05/2021	<p>Applicant: Derbyshire County Council Planning Application Code No: CD8/0221/49 Proposed Replacement Junior School and Ancillary External Works to Replace Fire Damaged School, Harrington County Junior School Derby Road, Long Eaton NG10 4BJ</p>
03/06/2021	<p>Applicant: Donald Ward Limited Trading as Ward Recycling Planning Application Code No: CW8/0321/53 Application for the Installation of an Acoustic Block Wall on Land North East of Crompton Road Junction by Donald Ward Limited,</p>

	Hallam Fields Road, Ilkeston, DE7 4AZ
03/06/2021	<p>Applicant: Severn Trent Water Ltd Planning Application Code No: NMA/0321/75 Replacement of Temporary Site Offices and welfare Units and Additional Temporary Car Parking Spaces and Replacement of One Steel Lockable Container with Temporary Self-Contained Welfare Unit</p>
03/06/2021	<p>Delegation Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: R3/0698/15 Mercaston Quarry Complex SM3502: Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation CD1/0420/5 Glossopdale School, Hadfield SD3529: Additional Surface Water Run-off SM3525: Site Frontage Visual Improvement Scheme CD8/0920/33 Former Ormiston Enterprise Academy SD3509: Written Method Statement</p>

34/21 DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE MONITORING RESOLVED to receive the Planning Services Development Management Performance Management Statistics for 1 January 2021 to 31 March 2021.

Agenda Item No. 3.1

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

REGULATORY – PLANNING COMMITTEE

6 September 2021

Report of the Executive Director – Place

- 1 NEW SECURITY FENCING AROUND THE PERIMETER OF THE SCHOOL DETACHED PLAYING FIELD AT HASLAND JUNIOR SCHOOL, THE GREEN, HASLAND, CHESTERFIELD
APPLICANT: DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL
CODE NO: CD4/0521/5**

4.2494.5

Introductory Summary

This application is for the erection of 380 linear metres of 2.4 metres high black security fencing and gates at the Hasland Junior School around a detached playing field. The site is outside of the defined Settlement Development Limits boundary and is located within the North East Derbyshire Green Belt, as identified in the North East Derbyshire Local Plan 2005. It is considered that the development would be a departure from policy GS2 of the North East Derbyshire Local Plan as the fencing, as a structure, would affect the openness of the Green Belt. It is not listed as being appropriate development in the Green Belt in the National Planning Policy Framework. However, it is concluded that this particular development would provide essential security to the school field that would not conflict with the purposes of including land within the Green Belt. I find the proposal represents very special circumstances.

It is not considered that the development would result in any significant adverse environmental impacts and would accord with the National Planning Policy Framework and with the requirements of the development plan except in a particular respect regarding policy GS2. The application is therefore recommended for approval subject to conditions.

- (1) **Purpose of Report** To enable the Committee to determine the application.
- (2) **Information and Analysis** This application proposes the installation of new fencing at Hasland Junior School that would enclose the perimeter of an existing detached playing field. The fencing would have a total length of 380 metres (m). The proposed fencing has been chosen to match the existing

fencing and is in accordance with current Derbyshire County Council insurance guidelines for schools.

The proposed fence would consist of 2.43m high Dulock Twin wire 868 weldmesh type fencing panels and gates with steel posts, to match the specification and height of the existing fencing on site. The fence would be powder coated, finished in Jet Black (RAL 9005), to match the colour of the existing fencing.

The application site is located within the administrative area of North East Derbyshire District Council (NEDDC) but is close to the Chesterfield Borough Council (CBC) administrative boundary. It is within the Green Belt that is identified as the North East Derbyshire Green Belt in the North East Derbyshire Local Plan 2001-2011 (NEDLP). It is approximately 550m to the south-west of the main school. The site is a detached playing field that is separated from the main school site by an access track. In the north-east part of the site, there is a pavilion and a shipping container that are situated behind an existing 2.4m high fencing and gate which was granted planning permission in 2014. The existing fencing and gate bridge the gap to the remainder of the site which is surrounded with trees and hedgerows. North of the detached playing field, also in the Green Belt, is a larger school field that has the same type of 2.4m security fencing on its perimeter, for which planning permission was granted in 2017.

There is a public footpath (Chesterfield footpath no.1) that runs along the access track to the north of the application site which leads to Ingleton Road. The site is not within a conservation area, however, it is within approximately 150m of a Grade II listed Manor House Farm, and 190m of a range of other farm buildings also Grade II listed. The application site is located within a Coal Authority high risk area.

The applicant has provided additional information following the submission of the planning application. This information outlines what the applicant regards as the very special circumstances required for development in the Green Belt to accord with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

Planning History

Planning Permission Code No. CD2/0217/91 - New fencing and gates around the perimeter of the adjacent playing field to the north within the Green Belt. (approved 5 June 2017).

Planning permission Code No. CD4/0814/62 - Installation of additional 2.4m high weldmesh fencing around pavilion building and at exposed openings around field. To include two sets of vehicle gates. This was within the Green Belt (approved 2 October 2014).

Consultations

Local Member

Councillor Wooley (Sutton) has been consulted but no comments have been received.

North East Derbyshire District Council

Has been consulted but no comments have been received.

Chesterfield Borough Council

CBC raised no objections, but made the following comments:

“The site to which you refer is located close to two grade II listed buildings, Manor House Farm and the range of buildings to the north. Therefore, the impact of the proposed fencing on the setting of these Listed buildings needs to be considered.

Policy CLP21 advises that: In assessing the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, the council will give great weight to the conservation of designated heritage assets and their setting and seek to enhance them wherever possible. I also draw your attention to Policy CLP20 of the local Plan which seeks high quality design.

The CBC Conservation Officer has considered the details of the proposal and has raised no concerns in this case.

It is assumed that the fencing will be appropriately coloured to minimise its visual impact.”

Highways

The Council, as Local Highway Authority, has no objections to the proposal but recommends that the following footnote be communicated to the applicant:

“The Public Right of Way (Chesterfield Footpath No. C2/1/2, as shown on the Derbyshire Definitive Map) must remain open, unobstructed and on its legal alignment at all times. There should be no disturbance to the surface of the route without prior authorisation from the Rights of Way Inspector for the area.”

Publicity

The planning application was first advertised by press notice on 5 August 2021 (Derbyshire Times) as a departure from the development plan. Site notices were originally placed on 9 June 2021 and again on 5 August 2021.

Planning Considerations

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that all planning applications are determined in accordance with the development plan unless there are any material considerations which indicate otherwise. In respect of this application, the development plan consists of the NEDLP 2005. The NPPF, revised July 2021, and the associated Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) are also material considerations.

The most relevant development plan policies in respect of this application are the following:

North East Derbyshire Local Plan 2001-2011 Policies

GS1: Sustainable Development.

GS2: Development in the Green Belt.

GS6: New Development in the Countryside.

BE1: General Design Principles.

BE9: Development in the Vicinity of a Listed Building.

The most relevant chapters of the National Planning Policy Framework are:

Chapter 2: Achieving sustainable development.

Chapter 4: Decision making.

Chapter 8: Promoting healthy communities.

Chapter 12: Achieving well-designed places.

Chapter 13: Protecting green belt land.

Chapter 16: Conserving and enhancing the historic environment.

I consider that the key issues in the consideration of this proposal are:

- The need for the development.
- The location of the development.
- Green Belt.
- Design and visual impact.
- Heritage.

Need for the Development

The planning statement accompanying this application states that the proposed fencing around the playing field's perimeter is required to improve the security and safeguarding of the students during their lessons and sporting activities. It is stated that the detached playing field is subject to regular vandalism, including fires and littering. The weekly cleaning undertaken by the caretaker results in the collection of litter, glass, drug paraphernalia and dog waste.

I consider that there is a clear need for the proposed development which would provide appropriate security for staff and students, and would help to

prevent vandalism, anti-social behaviour, littering and dog fouling. Subsequently, staff and students would benefit positively from a safer and cleaner environment in which to teach and learn.

Location of the Development

The site is within open countryside outside of any settlement development limits defined in the NEDLP. The school buildings are within the administrative boundary of CBC, however, the detached playing field site, which is the subject of the application, is to the south-west of the main Hasland Junior School, approximately 550m away, and is within the administrative boundary of NEDDC.

Policy GS1: Sustainable Development of the NEDLP states that *“Unless otherwise indicated in the Local Plan, all development proposals will:*

- (a) be located within the defined Settlement Development Limits, unless the development is acceptable in the countryside, or overriding exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated;”.*

In order to consider whether the proposed fencing is acceptable despite the location being outside of defined settlement limits and in the countryside, policy GS6 of the NEDLP, ‘New Development in the Countryside’, is also relevant.

Policy GS6 states that *“In the countryside, new development will only be permitted where:*

- (a) the development is for the operation of a use appropriate to such a location;*
- (b) it is in keeping with the character of the countryside;*
- (c) it causes minimal disturbance to farming and minimises the loss of agricultural land, particularly that of the best and most versatile quality;*
- (d) it does not require major new or improved infrastructure provision;*
- (e) it causes minimal problems of noise, disturbance and pollution and other environmental impact; and*
- (f) it does not represent a prominent intrusion into the countryside”.*

The proposal is for minor scale development, on the periphery of a long-established playing field, which is well screened by existing tree and hedges that cover to all sides of the field. The primary purpose of the field is for outdoor sport and recreation.

I am therefore satisfied that the proposal would be acceptable in this countryside location and it would therefore not conflict with the requirements of policies GS1 and GS6 of the NEDLP. The site is, however, within designated Green Belt, which is given consideration below.

Green Belt

The site is located within the Green Belt, as detailed above. The Government attaches great importance to Green Belts. The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy (as now stated in the NPPF) is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open; the essential characteristics of Green Belts are their openness and their permanence.

Policy GS2 of the NEDLP states that *“Except in very special circumstances, planning permission will not be granted within the North East Derbyshire Green Belt; as defined on the Proposals Map, for new buildings other than for the following uses:*

- (a) agriculture and forestry;*
- (b) essential facilities for outdoor sport and recreation, cemeteries, and other uses of land which preserve the openness of the Green Belt and which do not conflict with the purposes of including land in it.....”*

This is a proposal for the enclosure of land by fencing, not for the construction of any new building in Green Belt. The relevant part of policy GS2 of the NEDLP that applies directly to this context states *“Engineering and other operations, and material changes of use of land, will be permitted provided they maintain the openness of the Green Belt and do not conflict with the purposes of including land in the Green Belt.”*

Policy GS2 requires also that the visual amenities of the Green Belt should not be injured by proposals for development within or conspicuous from the Green Belt by virtue of their siting, design or materials of construction.

Paragraph 138 of the NPPF identifies five purposes which the Green Belt serves:

- “a) to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas;*
- b) to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another;*
- c) to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment;*
- d) to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and*
- e) to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.”*

Paragraph 147 of the NPPF states that *“inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in ‘very special circumstances’.”*

Paragraph 148 of the NPPF states *“When considering any planning application, local planning authorities should ensure that substantial weight is given to any harm to the Green Belt. ‘Very special circumstances’ will not exist unless the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness,*

and any other harm resulting from the proposal, is clearly outweighed by other considerations.”

Paragraph 150 of the NPPF provides a definitive list of the types of development not comprising construction of new buildings that are (in terms of the NPPF) *“not inappropriate in the Green Belt provided they preserve its openness and do not conflict with the purposes of including land within it.”* Because this paragraph does not list any type of development that equates to erection of fencing, the minor development in this case does not come under the scope of development that may be *“not inappropriate....”*.

Policy GS2 of the NEDLP pre-dates the NPPF, whilst it is not wholly consistent with the NPPF, in terms of describing what is not inappropriate development, the fundamental aim of Green Belt policy as stated in the NPPF is considered to be consistent with it. I am therefore of the opinion that it should be afforded weight in the determination of this application.

NEDDC is currently preparing a new Local Plan to cover the period from 2014 to 2034. Whilst the new plan is not yet adopted it has been subject to examination and the inspector has provided her report, which recommends adoption subject to various modifications. Policy SS10: North East Derbyshire Green Belt of the draft new Local Plan, with some detailed changes as recommended by the report, will mirror the NPPF in respect of Green Belt policy. The new plan cannot be given full weight whilst it remains an unadopted Local Plan, but it is of some relevance that the proposal would be consistent with this emergent policy SS10, on the basis that it is consistent with the NPPF.

In respect of this application, Paragraph 138 c) of the NPPF is of relevance, as it is considered that the openness of the Green Belt would be affected by this proposal, due to the site being enclosed by the 2.4m high fencing which is contrary to the intention of keeping such land permanently open and would therefore constitute inappropriate development within the Green Belt.

Because the proposal is not by paragraph 150 of the NPPF saved from being considered “inappropriate development” in NPPF terms, the NPPF expects permission not to be granted unless ‘very special circumstances’ [meeting the test under paragraph 148] are demonstrated.

The applicant has submitted a letter outlining what it considers to be very special circumstances, as follows (in summary):

- The site is vandalised on a regular basis and there has been a recent fire at the pavilion/changing block.
- Time, money spent on remedial work and increased insurance premiums affect school operations.

- Safeguarding risk to children and staff; it is believed that a significant risk is posed to safety if the fence is not installed.
- The caretaker must inspect the site weekly and collect broken glass and drug paraphernalia.
- If something were to happen then litigation may follow.
- Without the fence the school would stop using the field.
- A community football group also uses the field and shares similar concerns.
- Residents have been disturbed by anti-social behaviour of young people drinking alcohol and leaving bottles and other litter, and the Police have been informed.
- Similar fencing is already erected at the site so a precedent has been set.
- Openness of the Green Belt would not be affected as the fencing would be screened by existing tree and hedge planting.
- The school believes the proposals comply with policy GS2 of the NEDLP and the NPPF.

A letter from Hasland Community Football Club (HCFC) has also been submitted by the agent for the applicant in support of the application. HCFC also use the field and expresses similar concerns, particularly regarding litter and anti-social behaviour.

One letter from a local resident, also submitted by the agent for the applicant, similarly supports the proposal and raises concerns with regard to litter and anti-social behaviour.

Whilst the fence, as a structure, has to be considered to be inappropriate development in the Green Belt in terms of the NPPF, I am satisfied that (if granted permission subject to appropriate planning conditions) it would in practice have only a limited affect on openness. I do not consider that the proposal would cause an unacceptable detriment to the open character of the Green Belt or conflict with the purposes Green Belt serves. I consider that the applicant has effectively demonstrated that very special circumstances do exist in this case, as outlined above, which justify the proposed development, despite its technical inappropriateness as development within the Green Belt. I am therefore recommending that planning permission be granted on those grounds, subject to certain conditions. The proposal would represent a technical departure from the Local Plan.

It is not necessary to refer the current application to the Secretary of State in this instance because the development described does not exceed any of the thresholds set out in the Town and Country Planning (Consultation) (England) Direction 2009.

Design and Visual Impact

Chapter 12: Achieving Well Designed Places of the NPPF and Policy BE1: General Design Principles of the NEDLP require good design principles and that development is in keeping with the character of the locality.

The proposed fencing has been chosen to match the specification colour and height of the existing fence and is in accordance with current DCC insurance guidelines for schools. The existing fence on the site is in two parts. The first part of the fence with gates is located to the north-east of the detached playing field. The second part of the fence is located to the south-west of the detached playing field.

The proposed fencing would have minimal external visual impact as it would be screened behind existing trees and hedgerows that surround the border of the detached playing field. The proposed fencing would be positioned in a visually discrete location, set back from the public highway. It would not be directly visible from any dwellings due to the topography of the site, as well as the screening provided by the existing trees and hedgerows, and would have minimal visual impact on the users of the adjacent footpath located to the north of the site.

I consider the proposed location, colour and design of the fencing is appropriate, given the wider context of the application site, and the proposal is considered therefore to accord with Policy BE1 of the NEDLP and the NPPF.

Heritage

The site is not within a conservation area, however, it is approximately 150m from the Grade II listed Manor House Farm, and 190m from a range of other farm buildings also Grade II listed. Section 66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 requires special regard to be had to the desirability of preserving these heritage assets. The Government policy concerning heritage assets and the statutory requirement is now contained in the 2021 NPPF at paragraphs 189 -208. Paragraph 195 of the NPPF expects local planning authorities to identify and assess the particular significance of any heritage asset that may be affected by a proposal.

These buildings are to the north-east of the site and, given the distances involved, intervening topography and planting, I do not consider that the proposed fencing would significantly affect the heritage assets or their settings.

These buildings are in the Borough of Chesterfield and the application site, although in close proximity, is in North East Derbyshire.

The comments received from CBC make reference to policies in the Chesterfield Borough Local Plan (CBLP) in the context of the listed buildings

(being within the boundaries of Chesterfield Borough). Policies CLP20 and CLP21 of the CBLP seek to preserve and enhance heritage assets. CBC does not raise any objection to the proposal.

I similarly see no conflict with policy BE9 of the of the NEDLP: Development Within the Vicinity of a Listed Building, given tree screening around the fencing, distance and topography between the site and listed buildings.

I am satisfied that there would be no harm to the significance of the heritage assets as a result of the proposal. The setting of the listed buildings would be preserved, and I am satisfied that the proposal would accord with Chapter 16: Conserving and Enhancing the Historic Environment of the NPPF, and policy BE9 of the NEDLP, and also policies CLP20 and CLP21 of the CBLP (although these latter policies do not form part of the development plan for the land comprising the application site).

Conclusion

There is a clear need for the development at the school to improve the security of the detached school playing field. The site is, however, located in the Green Belt and the proposed fence, as a structure, would affect its openness (albeit to a limited extent) and would therefore be inappropriate development within the Green Belt according to the NPPF and a departure from the current Local Plan Green Belt policy. However, I am satisfied that the applicant has effectively demonstrated that very special circumstances exist, as is required for granting permission for such a type of development in accordance with the NPPF.

I do not consider that the proposed development would cause any other adverse impacts and I am satisfied, therefore, that the proposal, would not conflict with the NPPF, or with the policies of the development plan other than as described above in respect of policy GS2. The application is therefore recommended for approval, subject to the conditions set out below.

(3) **Financial Considerations** The correct fee of £234 has been received.

(4) **Legal Considerations** I do not consider that there would be any disproportionate impacts on anyone's human rights under the European Convention on Human Rights as a result of this permission being granted subject to the conditions referred to in the Officer's Recommendation.

(5) **Environmental and Health Considerations** As indicated in the report.

(6) **Other Considerations**

In preparing this report the relevance of the following factors has been considered: prevention of crime and disorder, equality and diversity, human resources, property, social value and transport considerations.

(7) **Background Papers** On File No 4.2494.5
Application documents received from Mr Andrew Norton c/o Hasland Junior School dated 18 May 2021.
Security fence to detached field received 18 May 2021.
The location plan received 18 May 2021.
Planning Statement received 18 May 2021.
Security Fencing Brochure received 18 May 2021.
Letter of support from a resident received 18 May 2021.
Site plans received 21 May 2021.
Internal County Highway Authority response dated 17 June 2021.
Chesterfield Borough Council response dated 7 July 2021.
Letter of support from Hasland Junior School received 19 July 2021.
Letter of support from Hasland Community FC received 19 July 2021.

(8) **OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION** That the Committee resolves that planning permission is **granted** subject to the following conditions:

Commencement

- 1) The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: The condition is imposed in accordance with Section 91 of the Town and County Planning Act 1990.

- 2) Notice of the commencement of the development shall be provided to the County Planning Authority at least seven days prior to the start of works on site.

Reason: To enable the County Planning Authority to monitor the development in the interests of the amenity of the area.

- 3) The development shall take place be carried out in accordance with the details in the 1APP form dated 18 May 2021, Planning Statement, and the accompanying drawings PP-09849461-01 entitled 'Location Plan', PP-09849461-02A revision A entitled 'Site Plan'.

Reason: To enable the County Planning Authority to monitor the development in the interests of local amenity.

- 4) All fencing and gates shall be jet black (RAL 9005) in colour.

Reason: In the interests of the visual amenity of the area and preserving the setting of the nearby listed buildings.

Statement of Compliance with Article 35 of the Town and Country (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

The Authority worked with the applicant in a positive and pro-active manner based on seeking solutions to problems arising in the processing of planning applications in full accordance with this Article. The applicant had engaged in pre-application discussions with the Authority prior to the submission of the application. The applicant was given clear advice as to what information would be required.

Footnotes

- 1) The Public Right of Way (Chesterfield Footpath No. C2/1/2, as shown on the Derbyshire Definitive Map) must remain open, unobstructed and on its legal alignment at all times. There should be no disturbance to the surface of the route without prior authorisation from the Rights of Way Inspector for the area. Consideration should be given to members of the public using the route at all times. A temporary closure of the route may be granted to facilitate public safety subject to certain conditions. Further information may be obtained by contacting the Rights of Way Section – ETE.PROW@derbyshire.gov.uk. If a structure is to be erected adjacent to the right of way, it should be installed within the site boundary so that the width of the right of way is not encroached upon.

- 2) Informative Note

The proposed development lies within an area that has been defined by the Coal Authority as containing potential hazards arising from former coal mining activity at the surface or shallow depth. These hazards can include mine entries (shafts and adits); shallow coal workings; geological features (fissures and break lines); mine gas and former surface mining sites. Although such hazards are seldom readily visible, they can often be present and problems can occur in the future, particularly as a result of new development taking place.

It is recommended that information outlining how former mining activities may affect the proposed development, along with any mitigation measures required (for example the need for gas protection measures within the foundations), is submitted alongside any subsequent application for Building Regulations approval (if relevant).

Any form of development over or within the influencing distance of a mine entry can be dangerous and raises significant land stability and public safety risks. As a general precautionary principle, the Coal Authority considers that the building, over or within the influencing distance of a mine entry, should be avoided. In exceptional circumstances where this is unavoidable, expert advice must be sought to ensure that a suitable engineering design which takes into account all the relevant safety and environmental risk factors, including mine gas and mine-water. Your attention is drawn to the Coal Authority Policy in relation to new development and mine entries available at:

www.gov.uk/government/publications/building-on-or-within-the-influencing-distance-of-mine-entries

Any intrusive activities which disturb or enter any coal seams, coal mine workings or coal mine entries (shafts and adits) requires a Coal Authority Permit. Such activities could include site investigation boreholes, excavations for foundations, piling activities, other ground works and any subsequent treatment of coal mine workings and coal mine entries for ground stability purposes. Failure to obtain a Coal Authority Permit for such activities is trespass, with the potential for court action.

If any coal mining features are unexpectedly encountered during development, this should be reported immediately to the Coal Authority on 0345 762 6848. Further information is available on the Coal Authority website at:

www.gov.uk/government/organisations/the-coal-authority

Informative Note valid from 1st January 2021 until 31st December 2022.

Chris Henning
Executive Director – Place



DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL
REGULATORY – PLANNING COMMITTEE

6 September 2021

Report of the Executive Director – Place

2 Item for the Committee’s Information

CURRENT ENFORCEMENT ACTION

Site	Breach	Action Taken	Comment
Lindrick, Mansfield Road, Corbriggs (formerly MXG)	Unauthorised storage and processing of inert waste.	Enforcement Notice issued 27 June 2013, requiring removal of all waste material before 1 August 2014. A Notice of Relaxation of Enforcement Notice was issued on 23 March 2015. This extended the period of compliance for the processing and removal of waste to 31 January 2016, and the seeding of the exposed perimeter banks to 31 July 2016. Planning Contravention Notice issued 1 November 2016 (response received). Breach of Condition Notice (Mud on Road) issued 19 December 2016. Notice of Relaxation of Enforcement Notice issued on 10 July 2017 extended the period of compliance to 31 December 2017.	Site inactive.
Stancliffe Quarry 3.696R	Condition 43 relating to stability of land adjacent to quarry face. Non-compliance	Breach of Condition Notice served October 2013 requiring submission of a relevant scheme by end of January 2014 (extended date). Temporary Stop Notice issued 17 February 2017.	Site inactive. Two planning applications relating to the site under consideration CM3/0918/48 and CM3/0918/49).

	<p>relating to requirement to provide appropriate remediation scheme.</p> <p>February 2017 Breach involving the removal of stone via unauthorised access, creation of access track and damage to trees covered by Tree Preservation Order.</p>	Interim Injunction Order granted 31 March 2017.	
Land west of Park Farm, Woodland Road, Stanton	Without planning permission, the change of use of the land from an agricultural use to a use comprising agriculture and the importation and storage of waste material.	Enforcement Notice issued 14 December 2018	<p>Date notice takes effect – 21 January 2019.</p> <p>Ongoing monitoring of notice requirements.</p> <p>Monitoring stalled due to Covid-19 Inspection to be arranged.</p>
Land at Park Hills Farm, Mugginton Lane End, Weston Underwood	Without planning permission, the deposit of waste materials onto land.	<p>Temporary Stop Notice issued 29 May 2019.</p> <p>Enforcement Notice issued 3 February 2020.</p>	<p>Ongoing monitoring/review. Enforcement notice took effect 4 March 2020.</p> <p>Monitoring stalled due to Covid-19 Inspection to be arranged.</p>
Land at Lady Lea Road, Horsley	Importation and deposit of material onto land.	<p>Planning Contravention Notice issued 28 October 2019.</p> <p>Temporary Stop Notice issued 29 May 2020.</p>	Appeal against enforcement notice lodged with Planning Inspectorate. Appeal start date - 8 September 2020.

		Enforcement Notice issued 16 July 2020 – Notice takes effect on 19 August 2020 unless an appeal is lodged before the effective date.	
Land at Barden Farm/Hirst Farm, Smalley	Importation and deposit of waste material; treatment and processing of waste material; formation of an excavation and deposit of waste material within the excavation.	Planning Contravention Notice issued 4 August 2020 – Response required by 25 August 2020. Response received.	Planning Contravention Notice issued in consultation with Amber Valley Borough Council
Land at Coombes Lane, Charlesworth	Without planning permission the storage of imported waste materials on the land.	Planning Contravention Notice issued 12 March 2021 Response received	Site cleared/case closed

**Chris Henning
Executive Director – Place**

This page is intentionally left blank

PLANNING SERVICES**Outstanding Items**

Date: 24/08/2021

EIA applications outstanding more than 16 weeks

MAJOR applications outstanding more than 13 weeks

MINOR applications outstanding more than 8 weeks

APP CODE	PROPOSAL	LOCATION	STATUS	WEEKS
EIA (4)				
CM3/0817/40	Development of a lateral extension to the south west of the existing permitted operations to provide the winning and working of minerals, associated ancillary operations and amended restoration scheme through landfill at Slinger Top Quarry, Cromford.	Slinger Top Quarry, Cromford, Matlock, DE4 3QS	Consultation Replies Awaited	211
CM3/0906/91	Section 73 application for the amendment of condition 17 of planning permission WED/1284/836	Middleton Mine, Middleton by Wirksworth	Further Information Awaited	781
CM6/1110/112	Recovery of 400,000 tonnes of coal using surface mining and the development of two flood alleviation areas along the Bottle Brook at George Farm Reclamation Site, Denby.	George Farm, Denby, Derbyshire, DE5 8PP	Approved Pending Legal Agreement	552
CM9/0816/46	Application under Section 73 to vary condition specifically to commencing extraction in the Western Extension prior to completing restoration of Phases 8/9 of Planning Permission CM9/0211/163 and allowing increased stocking of waste materials in the landfill transfer station	Shardlow Quarry, Acre Lane, Shardlow, DE72 2SP	Discussions with Applicant Pending	185
Major (5)				
CM5/0818/42	Reclamation, cut of and fill site, of the former Whitwell Colliery site to facilitate mixed use redevelopment of the site together with landscaping, ecology and drainage.	Former Whitwell Colliery, Station Road, Whitwell, S80 4TS	Approved Pending Legal Agreement	146
CM3/0918/48	Amendment to condition 7, 10 & 11 of determined conditions approval R3/0699/17 (LET 7276). Relating to quarry permit 1390/9/2 (7 March 1952)	Stancliffe Quarry, Dale Road North, Matlock	Held in Abeyance	140
CM3/0918/49	Formation of new access and road to existing quarry	Stancliffe Quarry, Dale Road North, Darley Dale, DE4 2GY	Held in Abeyance	140
CW8/0818/45	Section 73 application seeking permission to amend condition 24 of planning permission CW8/0811/61 to extend the hours of working on the established Ward Waste Recycling Facility on land at the Quarry Hill Industrial Estate, Hallam Fields Road, Ilkeston, Derbyshire	Donald Ward Limited, Quarry Hill Industrial Estate, Ilkeston, DE7 4AZ	Approved Pending Issue of Decision	155
CD8/0421/1	Application under Section 73 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) to not comply with conditions 3 and 17 of the approved planning permission CD8/0120/72	Behavioural Support Centre, Brookside Road, Breadsall, DE21 5LF	Consultation Replies Awaited	13
Minor (1)				
CD4/0521/5	Erection of 2.4m high Steel Weld mesh security fence to the perimeter of the school playing field.	Hasland Junior school Detached playing field, The Green, Hasland, Chesterfield, S41 0JU	Report Written	12

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item No. 3.4

**DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL
REGULATORY – PLANNING COMMITTEE**

6 September 2021

Report of the Executive Director – Place

Item for the Committee’s Information

4 CURRENT APPEALS/CALLED IN APPLICATIONS

The following appeal has been lodged with the Planning Inspectorate.

Appeal Reference APP/U1050/C/20/3257919

Land at Lady Lea Road, Horsley, Ilkeston

Appeal against Enforcement Notice Issues on 16 July 2020

Appeal Start Date – 8 September 2020

Decision pending

**Chris Henning
Executive Director – Place**

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item No. 3.5

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL
REGULATORY – PLANNING COMMITTEE

6 September 2021

Report of the Executive Director – Place

Item for the Committee’s Information

5 MATTERS DETERMINED BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR – PLACE UNDER DELEGATED POWERS

Date	Reports
11/06/2021	<p>Applicant: Rainbow Waste Management Limited Planning Application Code No: CW9/1220/44 The Consolidation of Existing Planning Permissions to Continue the Use and Operation of the Site as a Waste Recycling/Waste Transfer Facility; the Retrospective Regularisation of the Installation and Use of a Wood Fuelled Biomass Boiler and Alterations to the External Site Layout at the Existing Rainbow Waste Management Waste Recycling Facility</p>
11/06/2021	<p>Delegation Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: CHA/1156/23 Hindlow Quarry SM3561: Outdoor Lighting Scheme Amendment R1/0913/27 Tunstead Quarry SM3559: Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation SM3555: Restoration and Aftercare Report R1/1197/11 Tunstead Quarry SM3560: Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation SM3553: Restoration and Aftercare Report SM3557: Restoration and Aftercare Report</p>
17/06/2021	<p>Applicant: Derbyshire County Council Planning Application Code No: CD6/0221/51 Provision of New Single Classroom Block with Toilets using Modern Methods of Construction at Kilburn Junior School, The Flat, Kilburn, Belper DE56 0LA</p>
17/06/2021	<p>Delegation Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: R1/1197/11 Tunstead Quarry SM3554: Annual Badger Survey</p>

	SM3558: Annual Badger Survey R1/0913/27 Tunstead Quarry SM3556: Annual Badger Survey
24/06/2021	Applicant: Derbyshire County Council Planning Application Code No: CD6/0421/2 Erection of 2.4 Metres High x 3 Metres Wide Black Weldmesh Steel Fencing in Place of Existing Black Painted Steel Handrail, Adjacent to the North-Eastern Entrance to Parkwood Day Centre, Alfreton Park, Alfreton DE55 7AL
01/07/2021	Delegation Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: R1/1197/11 Tunstead Quarry SM3562: Peregrine Falcon Survey SM3564: Peregrine Falcon Survey R1/0913/27 Tunstead Quarry SM3563: Peregrine Falcon Survey CW9/0420/7 Former Drakelow C Power Station SW3565: Submission of Study SW3566: Submission of Proposed External Finish Materials SW3567: Submission of Site Boundary Details
07/07/2021	Applicant: CEMEX UK Ltd Planning Application Code No: NMA/0621/76 Proposed Non-Material Amendment to Planning Permission CM9/1111/111 not to Comply with Conditions 17 (Restoration), 18, 19 and 20 (Landscaping) of the Scheme of Conditions for Planning Permission Code No REP/1166/18 at Stretton Land, Willington Quarry, Castleway Lane, Egginton
13/07/2021	Delegation Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: CD1/0420/5 Glossopdale School SD3528: Surface Water Drainage System CD9/0520/8 Roundabout Spur, Occupation Lane, Woodville SD3467: Detailed Highways Design
22/07/2021	Applicant: Brackenfield Special School Planning Application Code No: CD8/0621/11 Proposed Temporary Classroom Unit, Brackenfield Special School, Bracken Road, Long Eaton, NG10 4DA
22/07/2021	Delegated Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: CW9/0420/7 Former Drakelow C Power Station SW3568: Submission of External Lighting Schemes SW3570: Submission of Construction Layout Details.
29/07/2021	Delegated Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: CD3/1219/65 Highfields School, Upper Lumsdale, Matlock

	SD3573: Parking Management Plan CW9/0420/7 Former Drakelow C Power Station SW3571: Remediation Strategy Verification Report.
06/08/2021	Applicant: Suez Recycling and Recovery UK Ltd Planning Application Code No: CW1/0521/4 Development of a Re-use Area at Waterswallows Household Waste Recycling Centre, Waterswallows Industrial Estate, Buxton
06/08/2021	Applicant: Cemex UK Operations Ltd Planning Application Code Nos: CM9/0620/19 and CM9/0620/20 Proposed Development at Willington Quarry, Castleway Lane, Egginton: 1) Extension to Willington Quarry to Extract 880,000 tonnes of Sand and Gravel with Restoration to Wetland and Grassland 2) Section 73 Application to not Comply with Conditions 2, 3, 51 and 52.
06/08/2021	Applicant: The Coal Authority Submission No: PD17/6/83 Request for Council's Prior Approval for the Erection on a Mine Gas Ventilation Stack, 8 Garnett Avenue, Heanor
06/08/2021	Delegated Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: CD3/1219/65 Highfields School, Upper Lumsdale, Matlock SD3581: Travel Plan
10/08/2021	Applicant: Derbyshire County Council Planning Application Code No: CD6/0621/10 Increase the Height of Existing Fencing Surrounding the MUGA from 3 Metres to 5 Metres at Swanwick School and Sports College, Hayes Lane, Swanwick
18/08/2021	Delegated Decisions on Schemes Required by Planning Conditions: CW9/0420/7 Former Drakelow C Power Station SW3569: Landscaping Scheme

Chris Henning
Executive Director – Place

This page is intentionally left blank

**PLANNING SERVICES
DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT**


Quarterly Performance Statistics
01 April 2021 to 30 June 2021

APPLICATIONS OVERVIEW

EIA Applications: 0 - Major Applications: 6 - Minor Applications: 5

Total Applications Received	11
On Target	11
Outside of Target	0

TARGET RESULT **100.00%**



SUBMISSIONS OVERVIEW

Applications Received	17
On Target	17
Outside of Target	0

TARGET RESULT **100.00%**

